

Homeland Security Research and Development: Homeland Security Issues in the 116th Congress

Updated January 22, 2019

Overview

In the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the [Directorate of Science and Technology](#) (S&T) has primary responsibility for establishing, administering, and coordinating research and development (R&D) activities. The [Domestic Nuclear Detection Office](#) (DNDO) is responsible for R&D relating to nuclear and radiological threats. Several other DHS components, such as the Coast Guard, also fund R&D and R&D-related activities associated with their missions. The Common Appropriations Structure that DHS introduced in its FY2017 budget includes an account titled Research and Development in seven different DHS components. Issues for DHS R&D in the 116th Congress may include coordination, organization, and impact.

Coordination of R&D

The Under Secretary for S&T, who leads the S&T Directorate, has statutory responsibility for coordinating homeland security R&D both within DHS and across the federal government ([6 U.S.C. §182](#)). The Director of DNDO also has an interagency coordination role with respect to nuclear detection R&D ([6 U.S.C. §592](#)). Both internal and external coordination are long-standing congressional interests.

Regarding internal coordination, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) concluded in a 2012 [report](#) that because so many components of the department are involved, it is difficult for DHS to oversee R&D department-wide. In January 2014, the [joint explanatory statement](#) for the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (P.L. 113-76) directed DHS to implement and report on new policies for R&D prioritization. It also directed DHS to review and implement policies and guidance for defining and overseeing R&D department-wide. In July 2014, GAO [reported](#) that DHS had updated its guidance to include a definition of R&D and was conducting R&D portfolio reviews across the department, but that it had not yet developed policy guidance for DHS-wide R&D oversight, coordination, and tracking. In December 2015, the [joint explanatory statement](#) for the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

IN11021

113) stated that DHS “lacks a mechanism for capturing and understanding research and development (R&D) activities conducted across DHS, as well as coordinating R&D to reflect departmental priorities.”

A challenge for external coordination is that the majority of homeland security-related R&D is conducted by other agencies, most notably the Department of Defense and the Department of Health and Human Services. The [Homeland Security Act of 2002](#) directs the Under Secretary for S&T, “in consultation with other appropriate executive agencies,” to develop a government-wide national policy and strategic plan for homeland security R&D ([6 U.S.C. §182](#)), but no such plan has ever been issued. Instead, the S&T Directorate has developed R&D plans with selected individual agencies, and the [National Science and Technology Council](#) (a coordinating entity in the Executive Office of the President) has issued government-wide R&D strategies in selected topical areas, such as [biosurveillance](#).

Organization for R&D

DHS has reorganized its R&D-related activities several times. In December 2017, it established a new [Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Office](#) (CWMDO), consolidating DNDO, most functions of the Office of Health Affairs (OHA), and some other elements. DNDO and OHA were themselves both created, more than a decade ago, largely by reorganizing elements of the S&T Directorate. The Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Act of 2018 ([P.L. 115-387](#)) expressly authorized the establishment and activities of CWMDO. The 116th Congress may examine the implementation of that act.

The organization of DHS laboratory facilities may also be a focus of attention in the 116th Congress. At its establishment, the S&T Directorate acquired laboratories from other departments, including the [Plum Island Animal Disease Center](#) (from the Department of Agriculture) and the [National Urban Security Technology Laboratory](#), then known as the Environmental Measurements Laboratory (from the Department of Energy). It subsequently absorbed some laboratory facilities from other DHS components (such as the [Transportation Security Laboratory](#) from the Transportation Security Administration), but other DHS components retained their own laboratories (such as the U.S. Coast Guard [Research and Development Center](#)). During the 115th Congress, the Federal Bureau of Investigation agreed to assume some of the operational costs of the S&T Directorate’s [National Biodefense Analysis and Countermeasures Center](#), and DHS proposed to transfer operational responsibility for the [National Bio and Agro-Defense Facility](#)—a biocontainment laboratory currently being built by the S&T Directorate in Manhattan, Kansas—to the Department of Agriculture.

Impact of R&D Results

In [testimony](#) at a Senate hearing in 2018, the Administration’s nominee to be Under Secretary for S&T described the S&T Directorate’s mission as “to deliver results” and referred to “timely delivery and solid return on investment.” Members of Congress and other stakeholders have sometimes questioned the impact of DHS R&D programs and whether enough of their results are ultimately implemented in products actually used in the U.S. homeland security enterprise. Part of the debate has been about finding the right balance between near-term and long-term goals. In [testimony](#) at House hearing in 2017, a former Under Secretary for S&T stated that the directorate “has worked hard to focus on being highly relevant—shifting from the past focus on long-term basic research to near-term operational impact.” Yet [testimony](#) from an industry witness at the same House hearing stated that “there is a perception among some in the industry that S&T programs only infrequently significantly impact the operational or procurement activities of the DHS components.” The 116th Congress may continue to examine the effectiveness and impact of DHS R&D.

Author Information

Daniel Morgan
Specialist in Science and Technology Policy

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.